



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/464,264	12/17/1999	Russell J. Wilcox	INK-067	INK-067 7307	
21323 75	90 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			DASTOURI, MEHRDAD		
HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, MA 02110			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(3	S

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/464,264	WILCOX ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Mehrdad Dastouri	2623	
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE MA - Extension - Extension - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u>	<u>ly 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ TI	his action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
	ince this application is in condition for allowan			merits is
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	of Claims			
4a 5) □ C 6) □ C 7) □ C 8) □ C Application	laim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. Iaim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected. Iaim(s) is/are objected to. Iaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r election requirement.		
· <u> </u>	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	oplicant may not request that any objection to the c			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctine oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			· ·
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTC)-152)

Art Unit: 2623

.}

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment filed July 21, 2004, has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Furthermore, Applicants are respectfully requested to provide a copy of International Search Report for the International Publication WO 00/36560 associated with the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 16-20, 23-27, 29, 30, 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa (U.S. 5,055,662) in view of Drzaic et al., (hereinafter Drzaic), (A Printed and Rollable Bistable Electronic Display; Document 33 in IDS filed November 20, 2002).

As per Claim 1, Hasegawa teaches an object having an associated authentication marker comprising:

an object having a first surface (Figure 1, Page 44, Device construction);

Art Unit: 2623

an authentication marker disposed on said first surface of said object (Figures 1, 7A, 7D and 7E; Column 4, Lines 39-43. Balance of the card, i.e.,"¥ 1230", is a mark that authenticates (proves the authenticity or actuality) of the remaining balance.); said authentication marker comprising:

an electrophoretic display medium (Figure 1, Regions 2a and 2b) having a display state (Column 3,Lines 16-47), a first surface (Figure 3, Element 11), a second surface (Figure 3, Element 14 or Element 11); and a plurality of electrophoretic particles disposed between said first and second surfaces (Figure 3, liquid crystal layer 12); and

a first electrode disposed adjacent said first surface of said electrophoretic display medium (Figure 3, Electrode plate 11 having terminals 16a);

wherein said display state changes as result of movement by said electrophoretic particles in response to an electric field applied through said first electrode and to said display medium (Column 3, Lines 16-47).

Hasegawa does not specifically disclose an electrophoretic display medium that inherently electrophoretic particles having a migratory movement.

Drzaic discloses an electrophoretic display medium comprising electrophoretic particles wherein the display changes states as a result of migratory movement of electrophoretic particles in response to an electric field applied through its first electrode and the display medium (Figure 3; Page 2).

Drzaic suggests that the electrophoretic display medium has an expected application of placing into highly flexible plastic components (Page 3, Expected

Art Unit: 2623

Applications) that encompasses the pre-paid, cash and credit cards disclosed by Hasegawa.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hasegawa's invention according to the teachings of Drzaic to utilize a microcapsulated electrophoretic display device in lieu of liquid crystal layer 12 of Hasegawa's invention because it will provide unprecedented flexibility in a reflective, electrically addressable display resulting in improved durability, lighter weight, thinner dimensions, and the ability to integrate the display into curved or flexible devices (Drzaic, Page 1).

As per Claims 25, 26, 30 and 43-46, repeat substantially the same limitations as Claim 1 above and analogous remarks apply.

As per Claim 2, Hasegawa teaches:

a second electrode disposed adjacent to said second surface of said electrophoretic display medium (Figure 3, Element 14).

As per Claim 6, Hasegawa teaches

wherein said electrical signal comprises an electrical field applied between said first and second electrodes (Figure 3; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claims 7 and 8, they recite substantially the same limitations as Claims 3 and 4 above and analogous remarks apply.

As per Claims 9, 29 and 42, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said electrophoretic display medium comprises at least one microencapsulated electrophoretic particle (Figure 3, Layer 12. Liquid crystal molecules

Art Unit: 2623

are electrophoretic particles suspended in a fluid and move under the action of an electromotive force.).

As per Claim 10, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said display state is an optical property. A display state is an inherently optical property (Column 3, Lines 16-29).

As per Claim 11, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to a substantially transparent optical property (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claim 12, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to a substantially opaque optical property (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claim 13, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein the display state is an impedance (Figure 5; Column 3, Lines 48-55)).

As per Claim 16, Sheridan teaches:

wherein said electrophoretic display medium is disposed on said first electrode (Figure 3, Elements 11 or 14)

As per Claim 17, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said first electrode is a conductive substrate (Figure 3, Element 14).

As per Claim 18, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said object is selected from the group consisting of currency, stock certificates, bond certificates, negotiable instruments, debit cards, credit cards (Column 1, Lines 8-9).

As per Claim 19, Hasegawa teaches:

Art Unit: 2623

wherein the authentication marker is affixed to said first surface of said object (Figure 3, Element 11 is the first surface and the authentication marker is affixed to this).

As per Claim 20, Hasegawa teaches:

a second electrode adapted to interact with said authentication marker wherein said display state changes in response to an electrical signal communicated between said first electrode and said second electrode (Column 3, Lines 16-47).

As per Claims 23 and 40, Hasegawa teaches:

wherein said second electrode is in communication with a validation machine (Column 4, Lines 39-59).

As per Claims 24 and 41, Hasegawa teaches:

a timer in communication with said authentication marker (Column 4, Lines 39-59).

As per Claims 27 and 31, Hasegawa teaches: wherein said message is text (Figure 1).

5. Claims 3-5, 14, 15, 21, 22, 28 and 31-39 are rejected under 35 V.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa in view of Drzaic et al., (hereinafter Drzaic), (A Printed and Rollable Bistable Electronic Display; Document 33) and Ota (U.S. 3,668,106).

As per Claims 3 and 31, Hasegawa and Drzaic do not specifically disclose the object of Claim 2 wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming text

Art Unit: 2623

Ota teaches an electrophoretic display device wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming text ("E", Figure 5, Element 23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display some form of text message, such as "OK", "Valid User", or "Mr. Jones' Card" when the user is authenticated and the authentication signal is transmitted to the display of Hasegawa.

As per Claims 4, 28 and 32, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first electrode and said second electrode is disposed in a pattern forming an image (an "E" is an image, Column 5,Lines 33-40, but Ota goes on to teach that smaller picture Elements can be found (Column 6, Lines 25-32), and it is obvious that a variety of images can be made with picture Elements).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display some form of image or icon as taught by Ota when the user is authenticated and the authentication signal is transmitted to the display of Hasegawa.

As per Claim 5, Hasegawa does not specifically teach transparent electrodes, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first and second electrode is substantially clear (transparent, Column 5, Lines 14-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the suggestion of Ota to create a system that reveals obscured information via a transparent wall that can be used as an electrode in the authentication display of Hasegawa by using the well known principles taught by Ota.

Art Unit: 2623

As per Claim 14, Hasegawa and Drzaic do not specifically teach that their displays reveal or obscure the display, however, Ota teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to reveal text obscured by said electrophoretic display medium (Column 3, Lines 8-17 and Column 5, Lines 5-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the suggestion of Ota to create a system that reveals obscured information in the authentication display of Hasegawa by using the well known principles taught by Ota so as to create changeable displays.

As per Claim 15, Hasegawa does not specifically teach that their displays reveal or obscure the display, however, Ota teaches:

wherein said change in said display state comprises a change to reveal an image obscured by said electrophoretic display medium (Column 3, Lines 8-17 and Column 5, Lines 5-12).

As per Claims 21 and 38, Ota teaches:

wherein said second electrode is an electrostatic head (Figure 8A, Element 30).

As per Claims 22, 33 and 39, Ota teaches

wherein said second electrode is a charged stylus (col. 6, 11. 70-75).

As per Claim 33, Ota does not specifically teach that he uses conductive ink, however, his electrophoretic material is the functional equivalent of conductive ink (Column 3, Lines 17-25).

As per Claim 34, Ota teaches:

Art Unit: 2623

wherein at least on of said first and second electrical signals comprises an electrical field applied between said first electrode and said conductive ink (Column 3, Lines 17-25, the electrophoretic materials are drawn to one electrode and thereby the electric field is applied to the particles via the other electrode.)

As per Claim 35, Ota teaches:

a second electrode disposed adjacent said substrate (Figure lb, Element 8 or 9) and adjacent said electrophoretic display medium.

As per Claim 36, Ota teaches:

wherein at least one of said first electrical signal and said second electrical signal comprises an electrical field applied between said first electrode and said second electrode (Figure 1a, Elements 10 and 11).

As per Claim 37, Ota teaches:

a second electrode adapted to interact with said electrophoretic display medium (Figure 8A,-Element 30).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2623

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703) 305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623 January 6, 2005 MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastown

Page 10